



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/585,175	07/06/2007	Simon Blumel	5367-249PUS	2133
27799	7590	09/02/2010	EXAMINER	
COHEN, PONTANI, LIEBERMAN & PAVANE LLP			SENE, PAPE A	
551 FIFTH AVENUE				
SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW YORK, NY 10176			2812	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/02/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/585,175	BLUMEL, SIMON	

Examiner	Art Unit	
PAPE SENE	2812	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) PAPE SENE. (3) ALEX GHYKA.
 (2) EDWARD WEISZ. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 August 2010

Time: 13:10

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 10, 18 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Alexander G. Ghyka/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2812

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Compact prosecution: Without introducing any new matter and using only the applicant's specification, Examiner proposed an amendment that will overcome the references in the prior art of record and put the application in condition for allowance. Applicants agreed to the examiner's proposed amendments on August 16th, 2010.